

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE . Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/961,084	4 10/30/97	KRONGAUZ		V	240606
IM61/0311 ¬			٦	EXAMINER PIANALTO, B	
	YORK AVENUE I OR EAST TOWE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N DC 20005-3	918		1762	6
		•		DATE MAILED:	03/11/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
	08/961084 KRONGAUZ		
Office Action Summary	Example Group Art Unit		
	Transto 1762 -		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Response			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE DYC MONTH(S) FROM THE		
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by de	136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MON a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. By statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-17-98		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 6 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	_		
(Claim(s) 1-22 and 24	26 is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
Claim(a)	is/are objected to		
1-22 and 20	- 26 are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	ed to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received 			
•	he priority documents have been		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received.	he priority documents have been r)		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	r) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb□ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the Interest o	r) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb□ received in this national stage application from the Interaction of the Interac	he priority documents have been r) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Interaction *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	he priority documents have been r) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Application/Control Number: 09/961,084 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, 20-22 and 24, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass -.
- II. Claims 18 + 19, drawn to a composition, classified in class 106, subclass -.
- 2. III. Claims 25 & 26, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 558.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as laminating.
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as made can be used in a materially different process such as molding.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

. -.

Art Unit: 1762

- 7. A telephone call was made to Ruth Marduch on March 4, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Pianalto/om March 10, 1999

BERNARD PIANALTO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100